

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,049	02/09/2001	Rikihiro Iida	10830-054001 / A36-129092	7560	
26211	7590 11/19/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 2800			JACKSON, CORNELIUS H		
NEW YORK,		2000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<i>i</i>		`		De			
		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
, Office Action Summary		09	/781,049	IIDA, RIKIHIRO				
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
•			rnelius H. Jackson	2828				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	S			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN misions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this conperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  nmunication. (30) days, a reply withi  statutory period will apply will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may a renth the statutory minimum of thirt bly and will expire SIX (6) MON the the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commur ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>30 Septe</u>	<u>mber 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action	on is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			0.00				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			Paul D				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		-4:	PAUL IP				
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or ele	ction requirement.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMI				
Applicati	on Papers			TECHNOLOGY CENTER 280	0			
	The specification is objected to by t							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar							
	Applicant may not request that any obj				101/4)			
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ffi	anitus com dan 25 U.S.C.	C 110(a) (d) ar (f)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		- 4) 🗌 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notic	te of References Clied (P10-692) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449)		5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/781,049

- Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Acknowledgment

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 30 September 2003, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite since it is unclear what applicant is referring to as a "calculated value" (e.g.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/781,049

- Art Unit: 2828

how do one of ordinary skill calculate a value that is already calculated and if the value was previously calculated, how was it calculated).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuo et al. (6563846). Kuo et al. discloses a DFB laser driving device **Fig. 1** comprising an input unit **15 and 17**, an approximate temperature calculating section, an output level variation calculating section **see col. 3**, **line 11-col. 6**, **line 56**, an output level controlling section **14**, and a temperature controlling unit **13**.

Regarding claim 2, Broutin et al. discloses inputting set values of a wavelength 17 and a output level 15; calculating an approximate temperature of the DFB laser based on the set values of the wavelength and output level; calculating an output level variation of the DFB laser on the approximate temperature; calculating a calculated value based on the output level variation and the set value of the output level; controlling the output level of the DFB laser based on the calculated value; calculating a

Application/Control Number: 09/781,049 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

set temperature of the DFB laser based on the calculated value and the set value of the wavelength; and controlling the temperature of the DFB laser based on the set temperature, see col. 1, line 65-col. 2, line 35 and col. 3, line 11-col. 6, line 56.

Regarding claim 3, Broutin et al. discloses a storage medium **16** and all the other stated limitations, **see col. 3, line 11-col. 6, line 56**. Also note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Broutin et al. (6301280). Broutin et al. discloses a DFB laser driving device **Figs. 1 and 2** comprising an input unit **PD1 and PD2**, an approximate temperature calculating section, an output level variation calculating section **see col. 3**, **line 21-col. 4**, **line 55**, an output level controlling section **216** (I<sub>TUNE</sub>), and a temperature controlling unit **120**, **see col. 1**, **line 65-col. 4**, **line 55**.

Regarding claim 2, the method a device operates is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, the rejection used against the device, stands for the method as well.

Regarding claim 3, Broutin et al. discloses a storage medium **200** and all the other stated limitations, **see col. 1**, **line 65-col. 4**, **line 55**. Also note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art

Application/Control Number: 09/781,049

• Art Unit: 2828

apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Paul ip

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

chi